From:

SizewellC

Subject:

Sizewell C DEADLINE 10 Written Representation

Date: 12 October 2021 16:31:56

This response is offered without prejudice as there remain far too few firm commitments from EDF.

Reference Mr & Mrs G Lacev

_

DEADLINE 10 - Fundamental outstanding procedural issues.

The Applicant, EDF have continually failed to address the recognised huge safety issues for Mr & Mrs Lacey living only approx. 200m from the currently proposed Fordley Road SLR junction. EDF have failed to eliminate the rat running/road congestion and road blocking huge issue within their current proposals. Vehicles will queue for the currently proposed Fordley Road junction on a daily basis directly affecting access to and from .

Risk to life and risk to property must be appropriately addressed, fully mitigated with rat running eliminated by the Applicant, as a deed of obligation within the DCO with Protective Provisions provided to approve appropriate and detailed plans of this relevant aspect of the project, Fordley Road SLR junction, before construction and before it is operational.

To confirm all current and outstanding matters between Mr & Mrs Lacey and the Applicant:

Mr & Mrs Lacey received today from EDF the following:

- General SLR landscaping Proposals,
- Basic information of the potential of re-alignment for the Fordley Road junction
- Applicant's comments regarding the potential of Quiet Road surface for the SLR

However, all the items mentioned above plus all the items mentioned below require further work with commitment to detail from the Applicant before being finalised and agreed by Mr & Mrs Lacey, therefore remain outstanding:

FORDLEY ROAD

- The Applicant is aware the current Fordley Road SLR junction proposal provides major adverse AND significant affects for Mr & Mrs Lacey.
- The Applicant is aware Stopping up Fordley Road both North and South will eliminate major adverse effects.
- The Applicant to provide a full and detailed Road/Highways Management Plan covering the stopping up of Fordley Road.

LANDSCAPING PROPOSALS

- Full and detailed mitigation to include a revised Mitigation Plan covering the stopping up of Fordley Road, North and South, to be provided
- To include evergreen trees within an agreed landscape proposal.

The Applicant to provide a full and detailed Landscape Management Programme for the duration of the build of the SLR.

NOISE

- Full and detailed mitigation to be discussed in more detail.
- Rec 19 location.
- Quiet Road surface.
- Bund
- Acoustic barrier/s.
- Applicant to provide, a full and detailed Noise Monitoring Programme covering Oakfield House, starting with immediate effect and for the full duration of the build of the SLR

AIR QUALITY

- To include PM10, PM2.5, Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring by use of a suitable calibrated device.
- To include dust monitoring
- The Applicant to provide to Mr & Mrs Lacey a full and detailed Air Quality
 Monitoring programme covering Oakfield House, to include the prevention of poor
 air quality from HGV/vehicle emissions using the SLR, to start with immediate effect
 and for the full duration of the build of the SLR. The Applicant has previously been
 made fully aware

APPLICANT RESPONSIBILITY TO THE STAKEHOLDER

- The Applicant is responsible for the preparation and provision of an Environment Statement, inclusive of comparisons, specifically covering the surrounding areas of Oakfield House, Fordley Road and SLR.
- NB To ensure the completeness and quality of the Environmental Statement, covering the impacts of significance and affects for Mr & Mrs Lacey, as listed in this Agenda. The Applicant must provide the expertise and qualifications of such experts, with appropriate and accurate reports.
- The Applicant to provide a full and detailed report to Mr & Mrs Lacey regarding the potential effects for Mr & Mrs Lacey and Oakfield House, reference the Cumulative and Residual Impact Assessment.
- The Applicant to provide Mr & Mrs Lacey an ongoing Stakeholder Management Plan inclusive of the above, for the full duration of construction of Sizewell C and the SLR.
- The Applicant to provide a full and detailed Compensation Plan, for Mr & Mrs Lacey to review.
- The deliverability of all of the above mentioned, is solely the Applicant's
 responsibility to the Stakeholder and therefore it is a request from Mr & Mrs
 Lacey to EDF/NNB (SCZ), the final agreement to the above mentioned subjects
 are to be included within the DCO process as Deeds of Obligation with Protective
 Provisions.

CONCLUSION

- To resolve the outstanding areas of major adverse significance, inclusive of all previous correspondence listing areas of concern from Mr & Mrs Lacey to the Applicant.
- To obtain a full and complete agreement between the Applicant and Mr & Mrs Lacey.

Complete detailed agreement's to be written into the DCO, inclusive of Protective Provisions.

Finally reasons to 'SAY NO TO SIZEWELL C'.

- The Applicant cannot guarantee water supply at this late stage, with their application inadequate and incomplete.
- The Applicant cannot guarantee funding at this late stage of the application process.
- The East Coast of Suffolk historically has one of the Uk's fastest eroding coast lines.
- Sizewell C would decimate AONB, SSSi's, local tourism, local businesses, and farms, whilst splitting many communities.
- RSPB Minsmere would suffer incomprehensible and irreversible damage to their world famous site, wildlife and biodiversity.
- The A12 will fail to cope with the increased SZC daily traffic congestion causing delays to Felixstowe Port and for all other daily vehicle users.
- The Orwell Bridge closes due to high winds on a more regular basis as global warming is causing increased wind speeds.
- The build cost ramifications, the time to build and the Global Warming costs cannot be quantified to make this build safe and viable for the UK:
- To quote: "SMRs "much safer" and "reduce(s) waste".
 The priority: "To bring out small nuclear reactors by 2030", which have the advantage of being "much safer": "safety is a key point", [Macron] insisted. The other priority will be to "reduce nuclear waste".

WE STRONGLY REQUEST THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE REFUSE THE SIZEWELL C APPLICATION.

Yours sincerely

Mr & Mrs G Lacey